

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/751,500	01/06/2004	Terutoshi Kumaki	Q78857	3351	
23373	7590 01/19/2005		EXAMINER		
	MION, PLLC YLVANIA AVENUE, N.W		CHEUNG, WILLIAM K		
SUITE 800	LVANIA AVENOE, N.W.	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037		1713		
	,		D. TE MAN ED 01/10/2004	-	

DATE MAILED: 01/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			^`				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/751,500	KUMAKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	William K Cheung	1713					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	s 				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u> .	January 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	/						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir							
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	·						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P1O-18	02.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in a	Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the pri	iority documents have been	າ received in this National Stag	e				
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	t received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) 5)		,				

Application/Control Number: 10/751,500

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed January 6, 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

 The claimed "DSC method" of claim 1 is not sufficiently disclosed in the specification to

Application/Control Number: 10/751,500 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

enable one of ordinary skill in art to make/use of the claimed invention. It is well known in the art that heat of fusion can be significantly affected by the heating rate of a DSC scan. Without knowing the proper parameters for running the DSC scans, one of ordinary skill in art would not be able to make/use of the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1713

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hambsch et al. (US 3,928,503).

The invention of claims 1-8 relates to a **compatibilizer** comprising a **chlorinated polyolefin** having a heat of fusion of **10 to 100 J/g**, which is measured by a DSC

method, and containing **35 to 60% by weight of chlorine in amorphous portions**thereof.

Hambsch et al. (col. 3, example 1; col. 4, claim 1; col. 6, claim 4) disclose a chlorinated polyethylene having a chlorine content of 37.4 percent. Regarding the claimed "chlorinated copolymer of two or more alpha-olefins", the examiner believes that Hambsch et al. meet this requirement because the chlorinated polyolefin of Hambsch et al. can be viewed as a copolymer of un-chlorinated olefins and chlorinated olefins. Further, regarding claim 3, Hambsch et al. (col. 2, line 3-6) disclose that the chlorinated products relevant to the disclosed invention are chlorinated products of polyethylene and polypropylene, as well as of copolymers of ethylene with varying

Art Unit: 1713

amounts of propylene and/or butylenes. In view of the substantially identical composition disclosed in Hambsch et al. and the composition being claimed, the examiner has a reasonable basis to believe that the claimed heat of fusion measure by a DSC method, and the capability of forming a homogeneous mixture of a vinyl chloride and a polyolefin resin are inherently possessed in Hambsch et al.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K Cheung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to 2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William K. Cheung

Primary Examiner

January 14, 2005

WILLIAM K. CHEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER